

Appl. No. 10/080,202
Final Amendment and/or Response
Reply to final Office action of 6 June 2005

Page 11 of 12

REMARKS / DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

Claims 1-19 are pending in the application.

The Examiner is again requested to state whether the drawings are acceptable.

The Office action rejects claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over George (USP 6,606,130). The applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

The Examiner's attention is requested to MPEP 2142, wherein it is stated:

"To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness ... the prior art reference (or references when combined) *must teach or suggest all the claim limitations*." Further: "If the examiner does not produce a *prima facie* case, the applicant is under no obligation to submit evidence of nonobviousness."

Claim 1 claims a method for adjusting the centering of a raster that includes forming an adjustment measure by combining the output signals from each of at least two optical sensors located on opposing sides of a display screen, and adjusting the centering of the raster based on the adjustment measure. George does not teach forming an adjustment measure from the output signals of two optical sensors, and George does not teach adjusting the centering of a raster based on such an adjustment measure.

Claim 3 claims adjusting the width of a raster that includes forming an adjustment measure by combining the output signals from each of at least two optical sensors located on opposing sides of a display screen, and adjusting the width of the raster based on the adjustment measure. George does not teach forming an adjustment measure from the output signals of two optical sensors, and George does not teach adjusting the width of a raster based on such an adjustment measure.

Claim 5 claims adjusting the linearity of a raster that includes forming an adjustment measure by combining the output signals from each of at least two optical sensors located on opposing sides of a display screen, and adjusting the linearity of the raster based on the adjustment measure. George does not teach forming an adjustment measure from the output signals of two optical sensors, and George does not teach adjusting the linearity of a raster based on such an adjustment measure.

Appl. No. 10/080,202
Final Amendment and/or Response
Reply to final Office action of 6 June 2005

Page 12 of 12


Claim 7 claims adjusting the height of a raster that includes forming an adjustment measure by combining the output signals from each of at least two optical sensors located on opposing sides of a display screen, and adjusting the width of the raster based on the adjustment measure. George does not teach forming an adjustment measure from the output signals of two optical sensors, and George does not teach adjusting the height of a raster based on such an adjustment measure.

In response to the applicant's prior remarks, the Office action fails to identify an adjustment measure in George that is formed by combining the output of two opposing sensors, and fails to identify a teaching in George wherein this (non-existent) adjustment measure is used to adjust the centering, width, linearity, or height of a raster. The Office action notes that George teaches receiving an output signal from multiple sensors, but fails to identify a teaching in George that the signals from opposing sensors are used to form an adjustment measure, as specifically claimed in each of the rejected claims.

Because George does not teach all of the claim limitations of each of the rejected claims, the applicants respectfully maintain that the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over George is unfounded, per MPEP 2142.

In view of the foregoing, the applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection(s) and/or rejection(s) of record, allow all the pending claims, and find the application to be in condition for allowance. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. McDermott
Reg. 41,508
Att'y for Applicant(s)

1824 Federal Farm Road
Montross, VA 22520
Phone: (804) 493-0707
Fax: (215) 243-7525